



# The Herald

**THE DAILY HERALD** is the only daily paper published between Winnipeg and Vancouver. Its circulation is second to none in Canada and it is equalled by no other paper. Subscription rate \$7.50 a year, 20 cents a copy.

**THE WEEKLY HERALD** published every Thursday morning. Its circulation is second to none in Canada and it is equalled by no other paper. Subscription rate \$7.50 a year, 20 cents a copy.

Transit advertising charged at 12¢ a word per line per week.

Each additional insertion in Weekly, and 8¢ a line. The first insertion in Daily, 10¢ a line. Each additional insertion in the Daily Edition. No ad will be charged at less than 10¢.

**THE CALGARY HERALD CO., Ltd., Publishers.**

THURSDAY, DEC. 16, 1897.

## C. P. R. SHOPS

After days of uncertainty the question of removing the C. P. R. shops to Calgary is assuming a definite shape. It has always been understood that the shops would sooner or later come here, but the people of Calgary will say they might have to wait a little longer before the day of the dally, was to come. Until Mr. Whipple's speech on Friday of the actual reason. The interview in another paper of some important statements which Calgarians will read with peculiar interest. The situation is practically this: The time has arrived when the C. P. R. must make a choice. It is in its divisional point and shop to enable them to more advantagesously handle the increasing traffic. In the mountains the changes have been definitely decided on, and the divisional and shop to be moved to the west, and Donald will be abandoned. There is no room for the heavy repair work in the city, so the heavy repairing for this division is done; establish another shop for heavy repairs at a pretty well known point that is the only logical point for this purpose—Calgary and Medicine Hat. The following points of residential advances, its larger school, its position as a railway centre, and for old age pensioners appear to the unprejudiced mind the better point. The only advantage is to be had by Medicine Hat, which is not yet a town in Calgary is its natural gas, which is an important factor in the cost of maintaining shops, fuel, etc. But that Calgary can be placed on the map is not a fact, and that is why we still hear it said: "I can get no gas, no power, no information, no help any way as to the overlaid route."

The contrast between the conditions of ranching in this country and of farming in Scotland (our native land!) is so marked as to be worthy of the most comment. The average farmer is too poor to be the kicker element. One rainy season ruins his crop while it has not damaged a single head of cattle. The man who pays from \$10 to \$15 an acre rent, and who has but a few head of cattle, is compelled to sell his farm, and the first thing he knows everything on the farm—for Scotland has no general market for cattle—is sold. That is, it is held by the court so that the unfortunate farmer cannot afford to buy himself a horse. This will at once be recognized as an excellent argument for the poorest Canadian farmer on the other hand, in a log shack, who has 100 acres of land, and who, perhaps during his breeding moments, that he is playing in the mud, is the best argument for the Scotch farmer, who is too often the victim of bad seasons and who, in turn, can only be the victim of a grasping landlord. Watkissin Free Lance.

Calgary has now received an invitation to consider the question of concessions will enter into the deal. The C. P. R. is apparently willing to give up the stores in the city if Calgary gives something in return. That the shops would be an asset to the city goes without saying. They would bring a large number of men to the city, and with their families, brakemen and others, all of whom would make their home in the city. Then there is the question of what can they offer as a quid pro quo. The Herald's opinion is that a cash payment would be both inadvisable and unnecessary. The town can not afford it and there is no equivalent value in the C. P. R. worth it. What then can we offer? Coming down to practical terms, we are asking the ground with a view to the location of shops, round houses, subintendents' offices, etc., at the C. & E. junction, where land is considerable, is too far west. In the words of the Herald, "If we understand the C. P. R. owns section 11, the distance would be sufficient to cover the ground in the interests of the city that the C. P. R. buildings should be built on section 11." We do not know if the C. P. R. owns sufficient land in a central position, but we do know that here, in THE HERALD's opinion, is where the city might step in. An arrangement could be made with the C. P. R. to have the city pay for the new and doubtful medicines—Take the trial and tested.

## A Dyspeptic?

### BOUTH AMERICAN NERVEIN IS THE RAINBOW OF PROMISE.

Enclosed—worry-gloom. No one can tell us what to do. We are in the center of the sufferer from Dyspepsia and Indigestion. We can't get away from the greatest discovery in medical science for the cure of all chronic diseases—Nervein. The nerve system is the seat of all disease. Thousands of cases of cure made. Held in the first class.

"It was a great suffering. I had a score of remedies. No relief. Nervein a few drops a day, and I am well again. I worked wonders. His health is now perfect. He is a man again."—H. Sherman, New York.

Do not experiment with new and doubtful medicines—Take the tried and tested.

## YUKON MAP

Published by THE HERALD, acknowledged to be the most complete and accurate map ever made of the overland routes to the Yukon.

Price \$1.00.

With each copy of THE HERALD, containing information regarding routes, supplies, etc.

Henry Brain, MONTGOMERY AVE EAST CALGARY

The Herald, Alberta.

THE HERALD









## IN THE ASSEMBLY

**HAULTAIN MAKES A TWO HOURS SPEECH**

**ESTIMATES FOR 16 MONTHS**

No Particular Increase in Expenditure  
—A Redistribution of Departments  
Machinery—Secretary to the Lieutenant Governor Now a Territorial Office  
(From One Own Report)

**REGINA**, December 6.—At today's session Bulyea, Executive, obtained leave to bring in a bill respecting wee-districts.

Premier Haultain moved that the House do immediately resolve itself into a committee to consider the

into the hands of the Government to be given to His Honor the Lieutenant-Governor. The hon. member who was received with cheers said that the money which was sent to the House was going to prove that it could be used as a supply for 16 months instead of the usual supply for 12 months. The House would require that within a few days he might be allowed to present the sum. The supply was changed from the last day of August in one year to the beginning of September in another, as to cover the period of the session. He would only briefly refer to the reasons for this change. The 12 months as originally fixed were not found to be convenient, and the amount of expenditure of a large sum of money, especially on public works, work that as a rule could only be done in the early

summer, and again in the autumn. It had been found difficult to expect to have the school year within the time for which it was available. Then again the school year was the calendar year and nearly every other financial year began at a different time during the year. For these amongst other reasons, the change had been made. Having thus to vote for sixteen months, and a person who was a member of the legislature, and a member of Congress, a more favorable state of affairs than that actually the case. The amount of money that was voted in the legislature was the full amount that one might reasonably expect to come in, that was to say it was not the complete estimate for the sixteen months. The amount of money that was voted had been equated as a rate, would be

had been introduced a resolution at the next session of the House for those expenses, and the election that would take place before the end of October, 1865, by the decision of the House by large vote. Also no provision has been made for agricultural societies and similar institutions, as the members could not agree as to what the year's fees were rightly entitled to be voted. The amount to be voted was arrived at as follows. Hon. members could recollect that owing to the fact that the agricultural societies in Newbury and Andover into the Dominion last year, a certain amount had to be estimated for the Dominion vote not covered by a certain proportion of the amount paid by each which did not end until the 1st of August. So that considering the actual amount of

money voted by the Dominion Parliament, for year ending December 31, 1901, of \$10,000, as part of their financial year just closed, was a balance in hand in September of \$8,000. He estimated that for the sixteen months he had been in office in January he would require the amount for the other six months, in the assumption that the Dominion Government would not be smaller than last year. This would give him a balance at the end of periods of six months from the 1st of January next to the end of the calendar year, as the estimate of the amount required to meet the Public Expenditure of Canada. A further amount of \$32,000 which he estimated as the probable amount of local revenue for the same period to be added thereto. Thus they had already appropriated \$48,000. They had also already made up supplementary estimates

\$3,200 and leaving that out the estimate for the sixteen month total was \$382,000. Coming now to expenditure the estimate for the sixteen month period is \$40,000, leaving a balance of \$2,074,741 when this session takes \$15,500 with the addition of the amount for the additional for the speaker, the deputy speaker and the leader of the opposition of \$10,000 for any possible vote that might be made for agricultural protection and similar legislation. It would then be seen that there would be to ask for \$41,000 or \$42,000 supplementary estimates when next the legislature met, in addition to having to give very substantial amounts to the various Dominion Parliamentary votes which he hoped would be an increase on the amount on which the

estimates were based. In a general way, however, they were probably reasonable for the public services of the territories as they might be made under the circumstances. It was also a satisfaction that for the first time the budget was balanced, and it was also significant in that for the current year which had just begun, there was a surplus of \$1,000,000. This was the first year from year to year dislocating the budget so greatly. The government withdrew a very large amount of money from the territories originally available for the fiscal year, and a relatively large amount of outstanding accounts were left unpaid at the end of the estimates. This year they had no such exceptionally heavy expenditure, they were comparatively few already voted; they were not so heavily overdrawn, and the adjusted, no overdrafts, none of the circumstances that from time to time had led to the estimate being too high from showing their true character. The figures given by the commissioners he might say were similar to those of previous years, but the conditions did not change from time to time, the machinery of government remained the same, the same amount of administrative work had to be done, the same amount of money had to be spent.

The old service was continued under the new name, and the new organization had necessitated a certain departmental organization.

The new service was organized essentially a committee machinery, all working jointly and individually, and it was necessary to keep them together although a body is not acted separately, at the same time certain duties were assigned upon the different members of the departmental organization. Most of the

MONDAY'S NEWS.

Hotels full.  
Business good.  
Mrs. Horace Hinchliff has returned from the Bay.

Mr. Justice Rocheau and Mr. Justice Scott returned from Regina this morning.

J. H. Wilson and W. S. Butt will leave for Edmonton tomorrow to join the new Legislature.

T. E. Cloworthy, manager of the Cloworthy Prospecting Syndicate, was in town this morning.

J. A. McGuire, brother of Miss McGuire of the telephone service, was in the city this morning.

B. A. Beggs of Dunbow, has just sold a carload of horses to go to Manitoba. He is returning to the city this week on a visit to his old home at Odessa.

On Saturday evening the 10th Inst., the Methodist congregation at LaCombe held a little surprise party and raised \$100 for the church. They had a number of donations in the shape of fowl, oats, provisions, etc.

A car load of criminals passed through the city on their way to Manitoba to Kingman's Auditorium. They were brought front the C. P. station, where they were held over the night, were taken from the city to the prison on a wicket to their home at Odessa.

The brewer's complimentary hall and summer is looked for Tuesday evening the 28th inst. The brewer always gives a complimentary hall of the season, and this will be found up to the standard. Invitations will be issued by the brewer.

On Saturday morning Monsignor August Dentonville arrived from New Westminster to pay a visit to Boniface, to visit Archbishop Langevin. The trip was made by land, the great St. Lazare road, and preached at St. Mary's church both morning and evening.

Those who have been anxious to procure copies of the Souvenir Album of Portage la Prairie, will be pleased to learn that they have at last arrived. They contain 40 views of the city, its industries, its schools, Edmundston and Macdonald, and also Lethbridge and Medicine Hat. The price is 50 cents.

Geo. E. Casey, Liberal member of Parliament for the riding of Portage la Prairie, was in town Saturday after a visit to the Crown's Net Pass railway. His visit was a short one, but he left a favorable impression of Calgary with him. Mr. Casey was first elected to Parliament in 1911, and is now in his 2nd birthday, and has represented the said district continuously for a quarter of a century.

At the Alberta J. M. Barton, H. O'Conor, D. J. Dickson, A. W. Ross, A. H. McLean, G. W. McLean, A. Walker, Wimperis, J. A. McGuire, W. J. McLean, J. E. B. B. Montreal; W. R. Askwith, Ottawa; I. A. Bourne, J. B. Giswold, Chicago; J. E. B. B. Montreal, J. E. B. B. Montreal, Dukas, New York; T. E. Wilson, Mackenzie, Alberta; R. E. Stewart, Vernon, Okla.; W. H. Stinson, J. Limousin and wife, High River; J. H. Wilson, W. S. Butt, H.C. H. Samson, Bow River; H. W. Fay, Big Hill Creek; F. E. Bevan, Millarville; J. M. Barton, etc.

Turner Mackland & Co., wholesale grocers, Winnipeg, represented by Mr. C. C. Turner, was in town for their annual importation of Ham Lin's pun size meat, (two cans) on Monday past and will be here again Tuesday for two days resupplying from stock which has been imported from Columbia. This ham is imported in original cases, put up in Calcutta, and shipped direct to Vancouver and the Pacific. It arrived per the steamer Empress of China, Hong Kong, and the Co. has had considerable promptness in having this shipment ready for market. Mr. J. T. Turner, Mackland & Co., are now making Calgary a central point of distribution for several other lines besides.

W. S. Queen, the express messenger, whose illness was mentioned by THE HERALD some weeks ago, died yesterday at half past eight to eight from fever. He was 70 years old, and before coming here last year had been a telegraph operator in Vancouver, where he was secretary of Pacific Lodge, No. 29, I.O.O.F. His widow, Mrs. Queen, came from the west before he died, and with her for their comfort had with her for their former home, Mr. and Mrs. N. B. The Calgary Oddfellows did all in their power to assist in taking care of the body and several of the members attending the corpse to the cemetery. Young Queen was one of the most genial and popular boys in the express company's employ and the news of his death was received with general sorrow.

TUESDAY'S NEWS.

The High River cattle case is proceeding to-day at the court house. The city delivery girls are complaining in the streets about the manner in which the drivers handle them in the outer limits of the town.

Over a hundred horses for Captain O'Brien's stable were imported on the cars for Edmonton this week.

The Dominion Express office will be open during the day, so that the public may call during the day.

It was a very bad night of the season; although not very cold the wind was very high, and consequently the snowdrifts were deep and hard to-day.

W. A. Alexander, of Highwood, brought in a caribou Saturday, 1500 ft. sheep. They are an exception to the rule, however, as all are imported by Burns & Co. for the Kristen trade.

The members of the Methodist Sunday School are making rapid progress with the rehearsals of their Christmas cantata. Stories of the great saints, music is bright and tuneful, and the castings are excellent.

As evidence of the severity of last night's storm it may be stated that the snowdrifts were from 12 to 18 inches, while passing over a ten acre stretch of "solid-head" in endeavoring to get across the city, the snowdrifts limited the city. After several hours battling the elements he finally ran up

against the hospitable door of a comfortable house for the night, and was given a hearty meal and a glass of death on the score steep stairs.

One of the numerous ranchers who heads at THE HERALD office writes: "I think it is a capital way of advertising and every stock man should patronize you."

A small committee have decided to open the bazaar on Tuesday evening, the 28th January, instead of giving the rinks from the north an opportunity to arrive on Tuesday night's program and return on the following Monday.

WEDNESDAY'S NEWS

W. H. Mansfield & Co. have just received a carload of game, consisting of Turkeys, Geese, Ducks and Chickens all of which in first class condition, order, and prices to suit the people.

At the Royal, H. J. Ingoldsby, B. J. Faure, C. H. Schenck, H. Lyman, Odeon, Evans, etc., have been engaged. Mr. and Mrs. McLean, Mr. McLean, Goldsworthy, Mr. Postill, Mr. Grant, Edmonton, W. Postill and wife, Red Deer, Mr. and Mrs. McLean, Mr. and Mrs. C. Ross, High River; H. H. McHugh, Moose Jaw; H. Brown, Brandon, Mr. and Mrs. McLean, Mr. and Mrs. Dingal; Miss Treecle, Kootenay; A. G. Woley, Fort Bow Valley.

The Dominion Express Co. announced that they will reduce the price of goods from Whistler westward to points in Manitoba, the Northwest and the Yukon. The reduction will average from eight to twenty percent. The following is a list of the principal points in the west others being regular rates as follows:

Edmonton \$1.00 per 100 lbs. to \$1.25 Vancouver, \$1.25 to \$1.50 Victoria, \$2.00 to \$2.50 Victoria, \$2.50 to \$3.00

Calgary \$1.25 to \$1.50 Fort Macleod \$1.25 to \$1.50 Lethbridge \$1.25 to \$1.50

During his recent visit to Calgary, Mr. J. M. Barton, president of the Canadian Press, suggested that the Tuesday evening edition be placed on the public notice board. He also noted that it would not involve the heavy expense of representation. Mr. Barton added that it was just as much a regular train as though school was in session, and that as soon as the time tables were published the Canadian Press would be shown in the Edmonton branch would be shown.

In regard to the Edmonton-Yukon "extra," Mr. Barton said that it was being opposed to it if they were arranging to have it advertised on their boards—long with the Blackie route.

O'BRIEN ON TOP

Not the Klorfiker—Result of the Civic Election is "A Quiet Day".

The following passed off very quietly on Monday evening, without any having been put forth on the part of the majority of those running.

Mr. O'Brien was elected a single voter.

In No. 1, Mr. O'Brien failed to win from the first, and his efforts were in vain. In No. 2, he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 3 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 4 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 5 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 6 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 7 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 8 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 9 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 10 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 11 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 12 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 13 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 14 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 15 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 16 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 17 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 18 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 19 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 20 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 21 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 22 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 23 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 24 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 25 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 26 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 27 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 28 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 29 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 30 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 31 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 32 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 33 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 34 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 35 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 36 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 37 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 38 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 39 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 40 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 41 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 42 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 43 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 44 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 45 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 46 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 47 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 48 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 49 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 50 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 51 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 52 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 53 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 54 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 55 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 56 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 57 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 58 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 59 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 60 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 61 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 62 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 63 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 64 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 65 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 66 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 67 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 68 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 69 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 70 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 71 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 72 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 73 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 74 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 75 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 76 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 77 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 78 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 79 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 80 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 81 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 82 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 83 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 84 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 85 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 86 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 87 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 88 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 89 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 90 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 91 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 92 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 93 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 94 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 95 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 96 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 97 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 98 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 99 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 100 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 101 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 102 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 103 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 104 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 105 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 106 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 107 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 108 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 109 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 110 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 111 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 112 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 113 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 114 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 115 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 116 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 117 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 118 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 119 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 120 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 121 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 122 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 123 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 124 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 125 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 126 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 127 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 128 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 129 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 130 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 131 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 132 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 133 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 134 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 135 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 136 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 137 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 138 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 139 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 140 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 141 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 142 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 143 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 144 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 145 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 146 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 147 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 148 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 149 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 150 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 151 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 152 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 153 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 154 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 155 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 156 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 157 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 158 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 159 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 160 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 161 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 162 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 163 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 164 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 165 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 166 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 167 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 168 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 169 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 170 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 171 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 172 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 173 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 174 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 175 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 176 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 177 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 178 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 179 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 180 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 181 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 182 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 183 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 184 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 185 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 186 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 187 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 188 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 189 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 190 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 191 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 192 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 193 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 194 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 195 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 196 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 197 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 198 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 199 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 200 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 201 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 202 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 203 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 204 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 205 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 206 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 207 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 208 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 209 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 210 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 211 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 212 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 213 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 214 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 215 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 216 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 217 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 218 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 219 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 220 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 221 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 222 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 223 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 224 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 225 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 226 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 227 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 228 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 229 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 230 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 231 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 232 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 233 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 234 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 235 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 236 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 237 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 238 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 239 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 240 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 241 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 242 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 243 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 244 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 245 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 246 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 247 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 248 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 249 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 250 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 251 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 252 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 253 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 254 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 255 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 256 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 257 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 258 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 259 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 260 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 261 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 262 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 263 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 264 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 265 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 266 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 267 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 268 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 269 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 270 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 271 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 272 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 273 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 274 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 275 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 276 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 277 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 278 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 279 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 280 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 281 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 282 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 283 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 284 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 285 he was elected with 100 votes of which 20 were plurality, the largest vote was 90. In No. 286 he was elected with 100 votes of